

## 2018 Nanjing International DMPK Symposium – Workshop 2018南京国际药代会议学习班 June 29, 2018,星期五

#### Workshop I

## Bioanalysis of Protein Therapeutics and Antibody-Drug Conjugates by LC-MS 色质联用在蛋白制品和抗体-药物偶合药物生物分析中的应用

Hao Jiang, Ph.D. (BMS, USA), Dian Su, Ph.D. (Genentech/Roche, USA), Jian Wang, Ph.D. (BMS, USA) 姜浩博士(施贵宝,美国);宿殿博士(基因泰克/罗氏);王坚博士(施贵宝,美 国)



#### Workshop I

#### Strategy and technologies in protein therapeutics and ADC biotransformation

蛋白质和抗体-药物偶合药物生物转化分析的策略和技术

宿殿(基因泰克/罗氏)

HRMS platforms

高分辨质谱技术和平台

 HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用
 Top-down and middle-down approaches
 自顶向下和自中向下的方法

Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation

免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 oTop-down and middle-down approaches 自顶向下和自中向下的方法



- HRMS (high resolution mass spectrometry)
- Mass accuracy: measures exact mass; mass error <5 ppm.
- Mass resolution: indicates a platform's ability to distinguish peaks;
- Platforms:
- Time-of-flight MS (TOF MS)
- Orbitrap MS
- Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) MS



Mass Spectrometer	Resolving Power (FWHM)	Mass Accuracy (ppm)	Sensitivity (g)	Speed (Hz)	Dynamic Range
Quadrupole	5K	50	10 <sup>-15</sup> (SRM)		107
Linear Ion Trap	10K	50	10 <sup>-15</sup>		105
Time of Flight	<b>30K-50K</b>	<1	10 <sup>-15</sup> (full scan)	<b>10-10</b> <sup>4</sup> (in theory)	<b>10</b> <sup>6</sup>
Orbitrap	<b>100K-1M</b>	<1	10 <sup>-15</sup> (full scan)	10-40	<b>10</b> <sup>5</sup>
FT-ICR	1M-10M	0.25-1	10 <sup>-12</sup> (full scan)	0.001-10	<b>10</b> <sup>5</sup>



SHIMADZU

#### Time-of-flight MS (TOF MS): Measures time to calculate m/z

BRÚKER





**Agilent Technologies** 

• Qualitative and quantitative

AB SCIEX

- $\circ~$  Excellent for MS/MS analysis
- **Ideal intact protein analysis (**high-resolution, accurate-mass data on proteins (MW. hundreds of kDa)

Waters

- SWATH: data-independent acquisition (DDA) strategy
- > 3,000 proteins or 15,000 peptides
- Coefficients of variation (CV) <20%</li>
- > 4 orders of biological dynamic range



Ion-trap TOF MS:

#### Time-of-flight MS (TOF MS)



- Two reflectrons
- A linear, pulsed extraction first analyzer, a mass selection gate at (or near) the spacevelocity focus point, a collision chamber, and a second reflectron mass analyzer





#### **Orbitrap MS**

SCIENTIFIC

#### Measures frequency and then provides m/z using a Fourier transform

Orbitrap: ions are trapped between an outer electrode and an inner electrode, where the ions orbit around the inner electrode.





#### **FT-ICR MS**

BRUKER Thermo

**Measures frequency and then provides m/z using a Fourier transform** 

 FT-ICR(Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance): ions form, cool, focus, and accumulate, move to a Penning trap, which stores the ions and excites them to their cyclotron frequencies.



## HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Why the intact MS approach?

#### Limitations of the LC-MS/MS approach using surrogate peptides:

- $\,\circ\,$  Not directly measure the protein isoform
- Potentially miss biotransformation information
- $\circ$  Negatively be affected by sample preparation artifacts
- Require longer sample preparation time
- Require time and efforts to select surrogate peptides
- Require extensive evaluations on digestion efficiency and reproducibility
- $\,\circ\,$  Require optimization of MRM methods



HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Why the intact MS approach?

- Benefits of the intact MS approach:
- $\,\circ\,$  Identification and quantitation of catabolites
- Comprehensive understanding of circulating biotherapeutic forms, biotransformations, glycoforms and post-translational modifications (PTMs).
- $\,\circ\,$  Higher throughput with reduced sample pre-treatment



HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Challenges of the intact MS approach

- Sensitivity: multiple charge states
- **Specificity:** interference from endogenous proteins
- Chromatography: limited separation efficiency, analyte heterogeneity
- Data analysis: Single or multiple charge states? Deconvoluted spectrum?



HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Strategies for improving the intact MS approach

- Maximizing enrichment by IA by using best capturing antibody for improving mass spectrometry S/N?
- Optimizing extraction window for quantitation using extracted ionextracted-ion chromatogram (XIC or EIC)?
- Optimization of chromatographic conditions for intact proteins
- Subunits quantification?
- Summing charge states/isotope signals?
- Deglycosylation?
- Charge state coalescence with DMSO
- Others...





Generic Hybrid Ligand Binding Assay Liquid Chromatography High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry-Based Workflow for <u>Multiplexed</u> Human <u>Immunoglobulin G1 Quantification</u> at the <u>Intact Protein</u> Level: Application to Preclinical Pharmacokinetic Studies

Christian Lanshoeft,<sup>†,‡</sup> Sarah Cianférani,<sup>‡</sup> and Olivier Heudi<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics, Novartis Campus, 4056 Basel, Switzerland <sup>‡</sup>Laboratoire de Spectrométrie de Masse BioOrganique, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, 67000 Strasbourg, France





#### LC

- Dionex UltiMate 3000 LC (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
- $\circ~$  60  $\mu L$  injection
- The monolithic ProSwift RP-4H column (1 mm × 250 mm) @ 70 °C
- The mobile phases consisted of 0.1% FA in water (A) and 0.1% FA in ACN (B)
- ο Flow rate: 200 μL/min
- MS
- Q-Exactive hybrid quadrupole
   orbitrap (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
- MS resolution @ 17 500 at full width at half-maximum at m/z 200
- **inject time: 150 ms**

#### Data processing:

- XICs of 6 most intense charge states:m/z 2543-2545 (56+) to m/z 2952-2954 (49+)
- Mass extraction window (MXW): 2 m/z units +/-5 ppm
- Area under the curve (AUC): using summed XICs
- Deconvolution: Protein deconvolution software 4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the ReSpect algorithm for isotopically unresolved spectra
- Input MS: m/z 2400-3400
   covering in total 18 charge states
- Output MS: 142–146 kDa
- Noise rejection confidence interval of 95%



17

			nominal QC concentration in rat serum $(\mu g/mL)$				
			8.00	5.00	0.250	0.100	
	no. of charge states		intrad	lay accura (n	acy and pr = 3)	ecision	
3	<u>3 (51+ to 53+)</u>	mean concentration $(\mu g/mL)$	8.70	5.44	0.273	0.109	
	$r^2 = 0.9891$	intraday accuracy (% bias)	8.7	8.7	9.4	9.0	
		intraday precision (% CV)	3.2	11.3	8.4	1.9	
6	6 (51+ to 56+)	mean concentration $(\mu g/mL)$	8.09	5.45	0.265	0.112	
	$r^2 = 0.9932$	intraday accuracy (% bias)	1.1	9.0	5.9	12.3	
		intraday precision (% CV)	3.6	3.5	4.1	6.7	
9	9 (48+ to 56+)	mean concentration $(\mu g/mL)$	8.51	5.55	0.289	0.115	
	$r^2 = 0.9876$	intraday accuracy (% bias)	6.4	11.0	15.6	15.4	
_		intraday precision (% CV)	6.1	3.9	3.4	7.7	
.8 **	<u>18 (42+ to 59+)</u>	mean concentration $(\mu g/mL)$	8.32	5.53	0.291	0.119	
F MEETING	$r^2 = 0.9928$	intraday accuracy (% bias)	4.0	10.6	16.6	19.2	
DNR		intraday precision (% CV)	6.2	1.0	1.6	3.3	

#### **Case study 1**

Table 1. Accuracy and Precision Data Obtained in QC Samples Spiked with hIgG1A Based on the Peak Area Ratio Using the XIC Approach with Different Numbers of Charge States and a MXW Width of 2 m/z Units

Acceptance criteria: ±20% (±25% @LLOQ /ULOQ)

- The number of charge states did not impact the assay's accuracy and precision.
- Inaccurate data @LLOQ with a higher number of charge states used for the XIC approach.

Anal. Chem., 2017, 89 (4), pp 2628–2635



С

ž

6 charges Mass 143129.4 2603.31 2921.9022 100 NL: 1.43E6 3046.1781 90 2468.7891 3253.8041 80 3408.7684 2347.2304 3579.3341 70 60 2200 2400 3000 3200 3400 3600 2000 50 2<sup>100</sup> ] <sup>2543.9984</sup> 40 2753,3842 2603,3137 2651,5989 2807.3352 2701,5063 2556.9486 30 143290.9 20 2590.7604 143452.1 142426.9 10 2740.1395 2793.5597 2638.4380 2688.1048 2550 2600 2650 2700 2750 2800 142500 143000 143500 m/z Mass ULOQ :10.0 µg/mL 142422.3 100 NL: ULOO 100 2638.43 4.35E6 NI 2849.4173 90 2544.23 10.0 µg/mL 9.58E4 90 80 -3031.2375 80 60 2456.4967 3166.0079 3313.2238 RT: 10.2 min 2415.1070 40 70 AA: 23465553 (%) 2095.6787 2261.6234 20 3561.7224 60 60 2200 2400 3000 3200 3400 3600 1800 2000 50 50 40 40 2688.2315 2638.4326 2793.5541 100 2590.5049 30 30 80 -2544.2364 60 20 20 40 142590.0 143130.7 10 10 2807.6118 20 2603,4343 2651.5934 2702.0336 2753.5157 2556.8306 42740 7 143299.6 0 0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0 2550 2600 2700 2750 2800 2650 142500 143000 143500 m/z Time (min) Mass



#### Table 2. Summary of Hybrid LBA-LC–HRMS Method Validation for Intact hlgG1A Quantification in Rat Serum

parameter	results
selectivity: three blank batches $(n = 3)$	hIgG1A: ≤3.0%, [ <sup>13</sup> C]-hIgG1A: ≤0.3%
contribution of signal	[ <sup>13</sup> C]-hIgG1A to hIgG1A: 12.8%, hIgG1A to [ <sup>13</sup> C]-hIgG1A: 13.0%
linearity $(n = 3)$ , $y = ax^2 + bx + c$ , $1/x^2$ weighting	$0.100 - 10.0 \ \mu g/mL, \ r^2 = 0.9919 \pm 0.0027$
carry-over (blank after ULOQ sample)	hIgG1A: <lloq, [<sup="">13C]-hIgG1A: 0.0% of response in zero sample</lloq,>
<u>accuracy (</u> % bias) and precision (% CV)	intraday $(n = 3)$ : -2.7 to 16.0% bias, 1.3 to 11.7% CV
QC at 0.100, 0.250, 5.00, and 8.00 $\mu$ g/mL	interday (n = 9): -0.1 to 9.3% bias, 6.1 to 8.7% CV
<u>dilution linearity</u> (300 $\mu$ g/mL, 50-fold, $n = 5$ )	mean bias of 2.9% with precision of 8.6% CV
reproducibility	97% of incurred samples ( $n = 30$ ) met acceptance criterion of $\pm 20\%$





#### Hybrid LBA-LC-HRMS Intact MS: equivalent results relative to LC-MS/MS @ peptide level

OMP





#### Multiplexing quantification of hlgG1A and hlgG1B in rat serum

For reprint orders, please contact reprints@future-science.com

# A whole-molecule immunocapture LC–MS approach for the *in vivo* quantitation of biotherapeutics

Aim: Large-molecule biotherapeutic quantitation *in vivo* by LC–MS has traditionally relied on enzymatic digestion followed by quantitation of a 'surrogate peptide' to infer whole-molecule concentration. MS methods presented here measure the whole molecule and provide a platform to better understand the various circulating drug forms by allowing for variant quantitation. Results: An immunocapture LC–MS method for quantitation of a biotherapeutic monoclonal antibody from human plasma is presented. Sensitivity, precision and accuracy for each molecular portion are presented along with an example of glycoform variant quantitation. Conclusion: The method is presented as a basic platform to be further developed for Good Practice (GxP) applications, critical quality attribute analysis or general understanding of molecular forms present as required for the wide range of drug development processes.

First draft submitted: 27 June 2016; Accepted for publication: 12 August 2016; Published online: 9 September 2016

John F Kellie\*,1, Jonathan R Kehler<sup>1</sup>, Thomas J Mencken<sup>1</sup>, Richard J Snell<sup>2</sup> & Charles S Hottenstein<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Bioanalysis, Immunogenicity & Biomarkers, In vitro/In vivo Translation Platform, R&D Platform Technology & Science, GSK, 709 Swedeland Road, King of Prussia, PA 19406, USA <sup>2</sup>Bioanalysis, Immunogenicity & Biomarkers, In vitro/In vivo Translation Platform, R&D Platform Technology & Science, GSK, Park Road, Ware, Hertfordshire, SG12 0DP, UK \*Author for correspondence: john.x.kellie@gsk.com



 The immunocapture, elution and reduction steps prior to LC–MS analysis



- LC :
- Waters M Class Acquity LC system
- An iKEY BEH PrST C4 column (150 μm X 50 mm, 300 Å, 1.7 μm)
- LC buffer A: 0.1% FA in water; buffer B: 60:40 ACN:IPA w/ 0.1% FA.
- $\circ$  3 µL injection
- Run time of 22 min at 65°C
- Flow: 2 μl/min @ 90% A @ 0-4 min; 0.75 μl/min @70% A @ 4.5 min; 50% A @ 18 min; 20% A @ 18.5 min; 90% A @ 19.5 min; 2 μl/min@ 90% A @20 -22 min
- MS:
- Waters Synapt G2-Si Q-TOF
- ESI+ with an ionKey source





Table 1. Linearity, precision and accuracy results from immunocapture LC–MS method for a biotherapeutic monoclonal antibody.

		Fc/2 Lc			Fd		
r² value	0.982			0.984		0.980	
Conc. (ng/ml)	% bias	%CV	% bias	%CV	% bias	%CV	
10,000	-9.0	11.1	-17.6	7.0	-11.6	8.5	
5000	9.0	5.1	0.3	12.5	-3.0	2.2	
2500	6.8	9.7	11.8	3.2	0.2	5.0	
1000	-1.5	7.5	4.9	3.2	9.6	5.3	
500	-9.6	7.0	2.0	3.4	8.2	10.2	
250	4.3	2.7	-0.3	4.6	-1.5	10.0	
100	NQ	NQ	-1.1	7.0	-2.4	24.2	
Ed: Eab beavy chain: Lo: Eab light chain: NO: Not quantifiable							

Relative glycoform quantitation on the Fc/2 region

Quantification is performed on single charge

state data in the m/z domain Fc/2 Loss of HexNAc Hexose adduct Range 500-10,000 ng/ml Range 1000-10,000 ng/ml Peak area ratios area ratios <sup>\*</sup>13%  $r^2 = 0.976$  $r^2 = 0.984$ 34% 13% 35% Mean % relative to most Mean % relative to most eak 14% 35% 31% abundant peak abundant peak 4% 32% (%) 2000 4000 6000 8000 10,000 4000 6000 8000 10,000 2000 Spiked drug conc. (ng/ml) Spiked drug conc. (ng/ml) Quantify 20+ charge state Quantify 20+ charge state +162 Da (addition of hexose) -203 Da (loss of HexNAc) 25,000 25,050 25,100 25,150 25,200 25,250 25,300 25,350 Deconvoluted mass (Da)

Table 2. Relative glycoform quantitation from Fc/2.						
	Loss	Loss of HexNAc		Adduct of hexose		
r² value		0.976		0.984		
Conc. (ng/ml) <sup>†</sup>	% abundance <sup>‡</sup>	%CV	% abunda	nce <sup>‡</sup> %CV		
10,000	12.9	3.8	34.0	6.4		
5000	13.3	2.5	34.6	2.8		
2500	14.2	9.1	34.6	3.0		
1000	14.2	9.1	32.1	4.8		
500	NQ	NQ	31.4	8.1		
<sup>1</sup> Spiked stock drug concentration. <sup>4</sup> Percent relative abundance compared with most abundant Fc peak at 20+ charge state. NO: Not quantifiable						

#### <sup>25</sup> Kellie *et al.* Bioanalysis, 2016, 8 (20), 2103-2114

D. Su

**Bioanalytical Challenge** 

For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-science.com



#### Toward best practices in <u>data processing</u> and analysis for intact biotherapeutics by MS in quantitative bioanalysis

John F Kellie<sup>\*,1</sup>, Jonathan R Kehler<sup>1</sup>, Molly Z Karlinsey<sup>1</sup> & Scott G Summerfield<sup>12</sup> <sup>1</sup>Bioanalysis, Immunogenicity & Biomarkers, In vitro/In vivo Translation Platform, R&D Platform Technology & Science, GSK, 709 Swedeland Rd. King of Prussia, PA, 19460, USA <sup>2</sup>Bioanalysis, Immunogenicity & Biomarkers, In vitro/In vivo Translation Platform, R&D Platform Technology & Science, GSK, David

Jack Centre for R&D, Park Road, Ware, Hertfordshire SG12 0DP, UK

\* Author for correspondence: john.x.kellie@gsk.com





Lc: mAb Light Chain; Fc: mAb Fragment Crysrallizable; Fd: mAb Fc Degraded; dAb: Domain Antibody.



**Equivalent linearity** observed regardless of number of charge states used for EIC integration

**Decrease in observed S/N** at the LLOQ for each subunit as the number of charge states utilized increases

#### Multiple isotope quantitation of a domain antibody





- The isotopes for a single charge state are shown with a 0.05-m/z extracted-ion chromatogram integration width for the 8+ charge state.
- Extracted ion chromatograms from each isotope within the charge state that are summed to give total intensity.



D. Su

#### Case study 2B

Higher %bias values of the deconvoluted mass relative to the use of a single charge state for the LLOQ is circled in orange



 The charge state method demonstrates more accurate quantitation at the LLOQ than the deconvoluted mass approach.

Table 2. R<sup>2</sup> values observed for duplicate reference standard curves in plasma from subunits of two monoclonal antibodies and an intact domain antibody according to a single charge state area, sum of three charge state areas or deconvoluted mass peak height.

Mode	Lc mAb1	Fd mAb1	Fc/2 mAb1	Lc mAb2	Fd mAb2	Fc/2 mAb2	dAb
1 Charge state	0.993	0.971	0.993	0.989	0.976	0.984	0.995
3 Charge states	0.993	0.968	0.990	0.985	0.960	0.984	0.996
Deconvoluted mass	0.950	0.912	0.777	0.981	0.975	0.983	0.979

Lc: mAb Light Chain; Fc: mAb Fragment Crysrallizable; Fd: mAb Fc Degraded; dAb: Domain Antibody.

 Based on the data presented here at 12–25 kDa, a recommendation for intact or large mass quantitation is to take <u>single or a few high abundant, selected charge states</u> (depending on molecular mass).



## Quantitative analysis of intact apolipoproteins in human HDL by top-down differential mass spectrometry

Matthew T. Mazur<sup>a,2</sup>, Helene L. Cardasis<sup>a</sup>, Daniel S. Spellman<sup>a</sup>, Andy Liaw<sup>b</sup>, Nathan A. Yates<sup>a</sup>, and Ronald C. Hendrickson<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Proteomics and <sup>b</sup>Biometrics Research, Merck Research Labs, 126 E. Lincoln Avenue, P.O. Box 2000, Rahway, NJ 07065

Edited by Fred W. McLafferty, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, and approved February 17, 2010 (received for review September 22, 2009)

 Identified the protein species at 9415.45 Da as an O-glycosylated form of apolipoprotein C-III [NANA-(2 → 3)-Gal-β(1 → 3)-GalNAc, +656.2037 Da], a protein associated with coronary artery disease





PNAS April 27, 2010. 107 (17) 7728-7733



 1
 Unmodified ApoC-III

 2
 NANA-(2->3)-Gal-β(1->3)-GalNAc-ApoC-III
 ( $\Delta m = 656.2037 \text{ Da}$ )

 3
 Branched [NANA-(2->3)-Gal-β(1->3)]
 ( $\Delta m = 947.3580 \text{ Da}$ )

 [NANA-(2->6)]-GalNAc-ApoC-III
 ( $\Delta m = 947.3580 \text{ Da}$ )

Fig. 2. Total ion current (TIC) chromatogram from LC-MS analysis of HDL<sub>3</sub>. High-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of eluting apolipoprotein C-III (33.0–33.8 min, 46 scans averaged) showing the unmodified (•), NANA-(2  $\rightarrow$  3)-Gal- $\beta$ (1  $\rightarrow$  3)-GalNAc-Thr<sup>94</sup> (**a**), and branched [NANA-(2  $\rightarrow$  3)-Gal- $\beta$ (1  $\rightarrow$  3)] -[NANA-(2  $\rightarrow$  6)]-GalNAc-Thr<sup>94</sup> (**a**) protein forms. (*Inset*) Selected ion chromatogram (SIC) for the (M + 9H<sup>+</sup>)<sup>9+</sup> ion of NANA-(2  $\rightarrow$  3)-Gal- $\beta$ (1  $\rightarrow$  3)-GalNAc-Thr<sup>94</sup> modified apolipoprotein C-III at m/z 1047.1678.



- Standard curve with a linear instrument response 100 nM -100 μM
- Data points : summed (M + 11H)<sup>11+</sup> to (M + 7H)<sup>7+</sup> and plotted as area under curve (AUC) with a linear regression (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.999946)
- Demonstrates an average fold change of 4.7 (pvalue 0.017)

## HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Antibody-Drug Conjugates (ADCs)



Panowski, S., *et al. mAbs*, 2014, 6, 1. Junutula J, Raab H, Clark S, *et al. Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2008; 26:925-32

## HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Case Study 4: ADCs DAR Profiling by Native MS

#### Innovative Native MS Methodologies for Antibody Drug Conjugate Characterization: <u>High Resolution Native MS</u> and IM-MS for Average DAR and DAR Distribution Assessment

François Debaene,<sup>†,‡,§</sup> Amandine Bœuf,<sup>†,||</sup> Elsa Wagner-Rousset,<sup>||</sup> Olivier Colas,<sup>||</sup> Daniel Ayoub,<sup>||,⊥</sup> Nathalie Corvaïa,<sup>||</sup> Alain Van Dorsselaer,<sup>‡,§</sup> Alain Beck,<sup>\*,||</sup> and Sarah Cianférani<sup>\*,‡,§</sup>

<sup>‡</sup>BioOrganic Mass Spectrometry Laboratory (LSMBO), IPHC, Université de Strasbourg, 25 rue Becquerel, 67087 Strasbourg, France <sup>§</sup>IPHC, CNRS, UMR7178, 67087 Strasbourg, France

<sup>II</sup>Centre d'Immunologie Pierre-Fabre (CIPF), 5 Av. Napoléon III, BP 60497, 74164 Saint-Julien-en-Genevois, France





#### HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Case Study 4: ADCs DAR Profiling by Native MS



HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Comprehensive ADC Catabolite ID and DAR profiling



ADC: Antibody-drug Conjugates; DAR: drug-antibody ratio

Post-dose Time (Day)

**Average DAR=∑ (%peak area × number of conjugated drugs)/100** 

- Various DAR species (DAR0, DAR1, DAR2) and components (a, b, c)
- The stability data help to understand ADC efficacy/toxicity profiles and optimize drug design
   38



#### Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 Next-generation ADCs



D. Su

#### **ADC: Antibody-drug Conjugates**

39

PBD = Pyrrolo-BenzoDiazepine CBI = Cyclopropa-Benz-Indol-4-one

ljenen

A Member of the Roche Group

## Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 Next-generation ADCs





ADC: Antibody-drug Conjugates; DAR: drug-antibody ratio



Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 Complexity of ADC Biotransformation

1. Linker deconjugation (-LD) 2. Payload metabolism



 Deconjugation (pathway 1) leads to deactivation/loss of the payload and possible formation of antibody-cys/GSH adduct.



**Payload metabolism (pathway 2)** results in either active (in red) or inactive (in black) payload corresponding to different changes to aDAR.

Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167

2





 Hypothesis: solvent accessibility or steric hindrance has a significant impact on ADC instability (e.g, deconjugation and payload metabolism)



Modulating parameters: conjugation sites, linkers, payloads, etc

*Curr Pharmacol Rep* (2018) 4: 45-63 Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167



#### Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 Affinity Capture LC-MS Assays



Enhanced sensitivity (3-5 folds) and resolution (2-3 folds)



Xu K et al., Anal. Biochem., 412, 56-66, (2011)

D. Su

S. Kaur, K. Xu and O. Saad, United States patent S 8541178, issued 24 Sept 2013

S. Kaur, K. Xu and O. Saad, European patent 2277044, issued 17 June 2015 43

Su D et al., Anal. Chem. 2016, 88, 11340-11346









#### Resolved peaks with Δm=18 Da at the non-reduced level



Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 Affinity Capture LC-MS F(ab')2 Assay



46

A Member of the Roche Group

## Bioconjugate Chemistry

Cite This: Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155-1167

Article

pubs.acs.org/b

Modulating Antibody–Drug Conjugate Payload Metabolism by Conjugation Site and Linker Modification

Dian Su,<sup>\*,†,#</sup> Katherine R. Kozak,<sup>†,#</sup> Jack Sadowsky,<sup>†</sup> Shang-Fan Yu,<sup>†</sup> Aimee Fourie-O'Donohue,<sup>†</sup> Christopher Nelson,<sup>†</sup> Richard Vandlen,<sup>†</sup> Rachana Ohri,<sup>†</sup> Luna Liu,<sup>†</sup> Carl Ng,<sup>†</sup> Jintang He,<sup>†</sup> Helen Davis,<sup>†</sup> Jeff Lau,<sup>†</sup> Geoffrey Del Rosario,<sup>†</sup> Ely Cosino,<sup>†</sup> Josefa dela Cruz-Chuh,<sup>†</sup> Yong Ma,<sup>†</sup> Donglu Zhang,<sup>†</sup> Martine Darwish,<sup>†</sup> Wenwen Cai,<sup>‡</sup> Chunjiao Chen,<sup>‡</sup> Hongxiang Zhou,<sup>‡</sup> Jiawei Lu,<sup>‡</sup> Yichin Liu,<sup>†</sup> Surinder Kaur,<sup>†</sup> Keyang Xu,<sup>†</sup> and Thomas H. Pillow<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, California 94080, United States <sup>‡</sup>Wuxi Biologics, 288 Fute Zhong Road, Waigaoqiao Free Trade Zone, Shanghai 200131, China





<sup>47</sup> Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167



#### Deconjugation(-LD) and payload metabolism(-Gly): A118C > K149C

<sup>48</sup> Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167





In vivo stability and efficacy in mouse: A118C < K149C</p>



49 Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167 A Member of the Roche Group

Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用 Case Study 5: Affinity LC-MS to Assist in Addressing ADC Payload Metabolism



A Member of the Roche Group



aDAR=active drug-antibody-ratio

In vivo stability and efficacy in mouse: A118C < A140C</p>



<sup>51</sup> Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167 Generate Group



#### Payload metabolism was improved by using shorter linkers





Payload metabolism was addressed by witching the conjugation site from K149C to A140C and using a shorter linker 53 Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167

Steric shield regulated by the conjugation site



<sup>54</sup> Su D et al., Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 1155–1167 A Member of the Roche Group

#### Workshop I

## Strategy and technologies in protein therapeutics and ADC biotransformation

蛋白质和抗体-药物偶合药物生物转化分析的策略和技术

**Summary** 

HRMS platforms
 高分辨质谱技术和平台
 TOF, orbitrap, FT-ICR

 HRMS for intact protein quantitation and profiling 高分辨质谱技术在完整蛋白药物定性和定量分析的应用 Data processing, sample preparation, sensitivity/accuracy/precision, top/middle-down approach

Immuno-affinity LC-HRMS for ADC biotransformation 免疫-高分辨色质杂化分析在药物偶合药物生物转化分析中的应用



Appropriate assays, catabolite/metabolite ID, DAR profiling and their roles in optimizing ADC drug design, top/middle-down approach

## Workshop I

## Strategy and technologies in protein therapeutics and ADC biotransformation

蛋白质和抗体-药物偶合药物生物转化分析的策略和技术

#### Acknowledgements

- 顾哲明
- 张东鲁 (Genentech)
- Steven Wang
- 王坚 (Bristol-Myers Squibb)
- Fabio Garofolo (Angelini Pharma)



- Genentech DMPK department, BAS department and LSR ADC team
- 南京国际药代会议筹备组

